



ClorDiSys

Decontamination and Sterilization Equipment And Services

- CD Safest Fumigant Method**
- CD Absolute Decontamination**
- CD Replaces EtO, Formaldehyde,
and VPHP**
- CD Fast Cycle Times**
- CD US-EPA Registered Technology**
- CD Great Material Compatibility**
- CD True Gas**
- CD No Residuals**
- CD Stress Free Validation**
- CD BSCs to Buildings**
- CD Easiest Cycle Development**



Minidox-M
Chlorine Dioxide Gas Generator



Cloridox-GMP
Chlorine Dioxide Gas Generator



Steridox-VP
**Chlorine Dioxide Gas Vacuum
Sterilizer**



Chlorine Dioxide Gas
**Equipment Decontamination
Pass-through Chamber**

ClorDiSys Solutions, Inc

ClorDiSys Solutions, Inc is the world's largest manufacturer of chlorine dioxide sterilization and decontamination equipment. Founded in 2001, we utilize the highest purity generation method of chlorine dioxide as developed by Johnson and Johnson™. Our products are manufactured with pride in the United States and are registered with the US EPA for the highest degree of effectiveness. We can provide you with gaseous chlorine dioxide solutions for all of your facilities needs. For those who require the power of gaseous chlorine dioxide on a limited basis, we provide decontamination services where we set up our equipment and decontaminate your equipment, room, suite, or entire facility. Our chlorine dioxide gas decontamination technology provides the ability for short cycle times, quick aeration, and excellent distribution into hard to reach areas while being the safest method available.

Concerned about room decontamination?

- Remove the human factor from the decontamination process.
- Reduce human exposure to disinfecting agents.
- Reduce overall decontamination time.
- Chlorine dioxide offers total process control.

How can chlorine dioxide gas save you money?

- Chlorine dioxide gas can be used instead of steam to decontaminate most items in your bulk sterilizer. Reduce steam costs, increase time between gasket change out, and reduce parts wear and tear (steam heat-up and cool down related issues).
- Reduced personnel expenses (chlorine dioxide is a 1 person process).
- Reduce down time (fastest decontamination times available).
- Reduce or eliminate outbreaks.
- Eliminate cost of contamination related issues (complete decontamination).

Safety Comparison of Fumigation Methods						
	Chlorine Dioxide		Vapor Phase Hydrogen Peroxide		Formaldehyde	
8 hr TWA (time weighted average)	0.1 ppm	✗	1.0 ppm	✗	0.75 ppm	✗
Odor Detection	YES At 8 hour safety level	✓	NO	✗	YES	✓
Carcinogen	NO	✓	?		YES	✗
Able to be Vented to Environment	YES	✓	YES	✓	NO	✗
Cycle Times (Risk of Exposure) 2500 ft³ room	3-4 hours	✓	6-12 hours	✗	12+ hours	✗
Typical Concentrations	360 ppm	✓	750 ppm	✗	8000 ppm	✗
Good Penetration and Distribution	YES (gas)	✓	NO (Vapor)	✗	YES (gas)	✓
Ability to Penetrate Water	Yes	✓	NO	✗	No	✗
Equipment Location	Outside Room	✓	Can either be inside or Outside depending on the manufacturer	?	Inside Room	✗
Aeration Time 2500 ft³ room	30-60 minutes	✓	Typically Overnight	✗	1 hour + cleanup	✗

What is Chlorine Dioxide?

Chlorine Dioxide (CD) is greenish-yellow and is a single-electron-transfer oxidizing agent with a chlorine-like odor. CD has been recognized since the beginning of the century for its disinfecting properties; and has been approved by the US EPA for many applications including the widespread use of CD in the treatment of drinking water. Beyond this and numerous other aqueous applications, the sporicidal properties of *gaseous* CD were demonstrated in 1986. Subsequent to these initial studies, it has been shown that gaseous CD is a rapid and effective sterilant active against bacteria, yeasts, molds, and viruses. The rapid sterilizing activity of CD is present at ambient temperature and at relatively low gas concentration, 1 to 30 mg/L.

Uses

Chlorine dioxide is widely used as an antimicrobial and as an oxidizing agent in drinking water; poultry process water, swimming pools, and mouthwash preparations. It is used to sanitize fruit and vegetables as well as equipment for food and beverage processing. It is used in the life sciences industry to decontaminate animal research facilities. It is also employed in the health care industries to decontaminate rooms, pass-throughs, isolators and also as a sterilant for product and component sterilization. What's more, as an oxidizing agent, it is extensively used to bleach, deodorize, and detoxify a wide variety of materials, including cellulose, paper-pulp, flour, leather, fats and oils, and textiles. Approximately 4 to 5 million pounds of chlorine dioxide are used daily.

Chemical Properties

Pure chlorine dioxide is an unstable gas and therefore is generated as needed. Although chlorine dioxide has "chlorine" in its name, its chemistry is radically different from that of chlorine. When reacting with other substances, it is weaker and more selective. For example, it does not react with ammonia or most organic compounds. Chlorine dioxide oxygenates products rather than chlorinating them. Therefore, unlike chlorine, chlorine dioxide does not produce environmentally undesirable organic compounds containing chlorine.

Chemical Formula:	ClO ₂
Molecular Weight:	67.45 g/mole
Melting Point:	-59°C
Boiling Point:	+11°C
Density:	2.4 times that of air

Antimicrobial Properties / Mode of Action

Chlorine dioxide (ClO₂) acts as an oxidizing agent and reacts with several cellular constituents, including the cell membrane of microbes. By "stealing" electrons from them (oxidation), it breaks their molecular bonds, resulting in the death of the organism by the break up of the cell. Since chlorine dioxide alters the proteins involved in the structure of microorganisms, the enzymatic function is broken, causing very rapid bacterial kills. The potency of chlorine dioxide is attributable to the simultaneous, oxidative attack on many proteins thereby preventing the cells from mutating to a resistant form. Additionally, because of the lower reactivity of chlorine dioxide, its antimicrobial action is retained longer in the presence of organic matter.

Environmental Impact

Chlorine dioxide's special properties make it an ideal choice to meet the challenges of today's environmentally concerned world. Actually, chlorine dioxide is an environmentally preferred alternative to elemental chlorine. When chlorine reacts with organic matter, undesirable pollutants such as dioxins and bio-accumulative toxic substances are produced. Thus, the EPA supports the substitution of chlorine dioxide for chlorine because it greatly reduces the production of these pollutants. It is a perfect replacement for chlorine, providing all of chlorine's benefits without any of its weaknesses and detriments. Most importantly, chlorine dioxide does not chlorinate organic material, resulting in significant decreases in trihalomethanes (THMs), haloacetic acids (HAAs) and other chlorinated organic compounds. This is particularly important in the primary use for chlorine dioxide, which is water disinfection. Other properties of chlorine dioxide make it more effective than chlorine, enabling a lower dose and resulting in a lower environmental impact.

How does CD react with liquids?

Gaseous CD is the only decontaminant that penetrates water and decontaminates both the water and the surface beneath. In order to maximize process reproducibility and minimize materials effects when using the ClorDiSys Sterilization Systems, it is best to avoid pools or puddles of liquid water. However, if small amounts of liquid are present the efficacy of chlorine dioxide is not affected. The reason that small amounts of water will not impact sterilization efficacy is that chlorine dioxide is readily soluble in water. Provided that the quantity of water is small the gas concentration in the water reaches equilibrium quickly.

In any case, the final concentration of chlorine dioxide in the water will be higher than the concentration in the gaseous environment. Furthermore the activity of chlorine dioxide in water is even greater than its activity in the gaseous phase. Its bactericidal, virucidal and sporicidal properties in water have been demonstrated at minimum concentrations of 0.20-0.25 mg/L (aq) with temperature dependent D-values for common water contaminants in the range of 16 to 40 seconds at 30 to 20 °C. For gaseous applications, D-values at 20°C for common indicator organisms are 14-45 seconds at 20-10 mg/L (gas).

Chlorine Dioxide Gas Applications



Isolators



**Pass-through
Rooms**



Surgical Suites



Large Animal Holding Rooms



Animal Holding Rooms



**Decontamination
Chamber**



Modified Autoclaves



Laboratories



Clean Rooms



Biological Safety Cabinets

Chlorine Dioxide Gas has been approved by NSF International under Annex G of NSF/ANSI 49 for the decontamination of BSC's



Products and Components



HEPA Housings



Equipment Decontamination



Processing Tanks and Piping



BSL 1-4 Laboratories/Suites

Additional Applications:

- Necropsy Rooms
- Procedure Rooms
- Spray Tunnels
- HVAC systems
- Modified Rack Washers
- Many more...



Transport Van



Buildings

Material Compatibility of Chlorine Dioxide Gas

Chlorine dioxide is an oxidizer, as is hydrogen peroxide, ozone, and oxygen among many other agents. Oxidation / reduction potential is a measure of the tendency of a chemical species to gain electrons and oxidize other chemical species. A higher oxidation / reduction potential means that the species is more likely to gain electrons and is a stronger oxidizer. This gives a numerical value as to how corrosive the agent is.

The table below shows several biocidal agents and their oxidation / reduction potentials. Chlorine dioxide has an oxidation / reduction potential of 0.95V, which is lower than other commonly known decontaminating agents, such as hydrogen peroxide, as well as bleach. The reason that chlorine dioxide, scientifically less corrosive than hydrogen peroxide, has a worse reputation, is due to byproducts created in the method of generation of some common liquid chlorine dioxide solutions that have been used for many years.

Biocidal Agent	Oxidation / Reduction Potential (V)
Ozone	2.07
Peracetic Acid	1.81
Hydrogen Peroxide	1.78
Sodium Hypochlorite	1.49
Chlorine Dioxide	0.95


Generation Method

The generation method of chlorine dioxide is where the difference in corrosiveness can be found. There are many different methods of generation for chlorine dioxide. Many of the liquid methods are created by mixing an acid and a base which then forms an acidified chlorine dioxide solution. A common generation method for liquid chlorine dioxide is:




The production of two acidic components, acidified sodium chlorite and chlorous acid, is where the corrosive properties come from. The pH of these solutions is typically around 3. This liquid is then fogged or sprayed throughout the room, and onto sensitive materials. Some institutions perform a follow up water rinse upon completion of the decontamination when using liquid chlorine dioxide. This follow up rinse does remove leftover corrosive materials from easy to reach places. This lessens the impact that the corrosive solution would have as it is removed from staying in contact with surfaces for a long period of time. However it does not eliminate the risk of corrosion completely, as the acidic solution did contact materials during the decontamination itself. It also does not remove any acidic solution from unreachable areas, such as behind grills and inside of electronics.

Pure chlorine dioxide, which can be generated in the gaseous phase, does not have the same effect on materials. Water injected with pure chlorine dioxide gas still has a pH of 7, meaning that the solution is neutral. A method of generating pure chlorine dioxide gas is below:




The solid salt product is retained within the system and not introduced into the space being decontaminated. By not introducing acidic byproducts along with the chlorine dioxide gas, this leaves just the pure chlorine dioxide gas contacting the contents of the space. Chlorine dioxide gas does not leave a residue, so there is no worry of residual contact causing a negative effect on materials and components within the area being decontaminated. With a comparatively low oxidation / reduction potential, using Chlorine dioxide gas generated in this fashion is one of the best methods of decontamination available when concerned with material compatibility.



Example 1: (Blow Fill Seal Machine)


A picture is worth a thousand words:

In **Example 1**, a pharmaceutical Blow-Fill-Seal machine is shown. It has been decontaminated in the room shown on a monthly basis since the late 1990's using our process. The room has typical clean room floor and ceiling with painted metal walls. The machine is computer controlled with AC drives, motors, various electronic sensors, expensive tooling, and various gasket materials. Bi's were placed in the electrical enclosure to validate the cycle and the equipment, valued close to \$1,000,000, has shown no adverse effects.



**Example 2:
Surgical Suite**

Example 2 is an operating room which contains X-ray equipment, various monitoring equipment, electronics, cabinets, lights, optics, computers, etc. This room is also decontaminated regularly with no adverse effects on any materials or components.



EQUIPMENT EXPOSED TO GASEOUS CHLORINE DIOXIDE WITH NO ADVERSE EFFECTS	
Equipment	Results
Computers – Laptop and Desktop	No Effect
GC's and HPLC's	No Effect
VCR's / VHS Tapes	No Effect
Scales / Balances	No Effect
Microscopes	No Effect
Cameras / Camcorders	No Effect
MRI Machines	No Effect
X-Ray Machines	No Effect
Refrigerators / Freezers	No Effect
Incubators	No Effect
Autoclaves	No Effect
Cage / Rack Washers	No Effect
Temperature / Pressure / Rh Probes	No Effect
HEPA Filters	No Effect
Sterilizing Filters	No Effect
Solenoid Valves	No Effect
Biological Safety Cabinets	No Effect
Rigid Plastic / Stainless Steel / Flexible Wall Isolators	No Effect
Polypropylene	No Effect
HVAC ductwork	No Effect
Engines	No Effect
Siloxane Gel	No Effect
Ultrasound Machines	No Effect
Xenogen IVIS 2001 Imaging System	No Effect
Anesthesia Systems	No Effect
Ventilators	No Effect



Decontamination Methods Comparison

The major methods for decontamination are: Gaseous chlorine dioxide, formaldehyde, and vapor phase hydrogen peroxide (VPHP). Chlorine dioxide gas (CD) is the newest method of the three, but is quickly becoming the industry's new gold standard as it becomes more widely used. By being a gas, like formaldehyde, CD naturally distributes uniformly and completely within the space being decontaminated, just like oxygen in the air. VPHP will start condensing back to the liquid state upon exiting the generator, and is distributed throughout the chamber/room through line of sight injection. As such, the back side, underside, and internal portions of components may not be contacted by VPHP for a long enough period of time, at the proper concentration, to achieve the correct level of kill.

Decontamination Capacity

Clordisys' Minidox-M, Minidox-B, and Cloridox-GMP CD Generators can decontaminate areas from 1-70,000 ft³. The Clordisys Minidox-L is designed for smaller applications, capable of decontaminating volumes from 1-300 ft³.

VPHP generators can typically decontaminate areas from 1-2000 ft³. However due to a line of sight injection of hydrogen peroxide, multiple generators may be necessary if the area has a somewhat complex geometry, such as multiple rooms, or an "L" shape. Usually there is one VPHP generator needed per room.

Cycle Times

Chlorine Dioxide Gas decontamination cycle times are quicker than those for both formaldehyde and VPHP. The reason is due to quicker aeration time, as 12-15 air exchanges is sufficient to remove CD after a decontamination, generally 30-45 minutes for rooms. VPHP and formaldehyde cycles usually extend overnight, as VPHP needs extra time to aerate due to condensing onto surfaces, and formaldehyde needs lengthy exposure times and a neutralization step.

Room Decontamination	Volume	Cycle Time
Steris VPHP	300 ft ³	7.5 hours
Steris VPHP	760 ft ³	4.25 hours + overnight aeration
Bioquell Clarus	2500 ft ³	10-11 hours
Chlorine Dioxide	2700 ft ³	3.5 hours

Carcinogenicity

Formaldehyde is considered a probable carcinogen by the US EPA and the International Agency for Research on Cancer has classified it as a carcinogen. VPHP is designated an A3, Confirmed Animal Carcinogen with Unknown Relevance to Humans, according to the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH). Chlorine Dioxide Gas is not considered to be carcinogenic. It is used to treat fruits, vegetables, poultry, and other foods. Chlorine dioxide has also been used in the treatment of drinking water since the 1920's.

Material Compatibility

VPHP and CD are both oxidizers. VPHP is a mathematically more corrosive than CD, with an oxidation potential 1.9 times that of CD. Some major liquid chlorine dioxide solutions are generated with acidic byproducts, making them corrosive. Clordisys' Chlorine Dioxide Gas generation method generates a pure chlorine dioxide, which is gentler than VPHP, and much more gentle than the leading liquid chlorine dioxide solutions.

Post Exposure Residue

Neither Chlorine Dioxide Gas nor VPHP leaves a residue after decontamination. Formaldehyde does leave a residue that needs to be cleaned up afterwards. This proves to be difficult when dealing with intricate components or areas hard to access.

EPA Registration


Clordisys Solutions, Inc's chlorine dioxide gas is registered with the US EPA to sterilize "manufacturing and laboratory equipment, environmental surfaces and implements such as: manufacturing vessels; beakers, test tubes and laboratory glassware; rooms; sterility testing isolators and pharmaceutical isolators."

Steris Corp. has registered its VPHP for sterilization of "Applications for sterilization of sealed, dry pre-cleaned enclosures located in industrial, commercial and institutional settings."

No other methods are registered as a sterilant for room fumigation.

Temperature Control:

CD and formaldehyde are gasses at room temperature. As such, no temperature control is necessary. VPHP is a liquid at room temperatures, with a boiling point of 109°C. At temperatures under its boiling point, VPHP will start to condense, returning to the liquid form. This creates a challenge to its distribution throughout a room or large chamber. Pictured is a thermal image of an isolator in the process of being decontaminated with VPHP. There is a wide temperature gradient within the chamber, which gives an indication of VPHP distribution, with cooler areas not receiving as much VPHP.



Humidity Control:

In order to eliminate spores, humidity levels must be elevated in order to soften the spore walls. Typically 60-75% Rh is required for spore-log reduction. This is independent of the method being used for decontamination.

Equipment inside chamber/room:

As a gas, chlorine dioxide and formaldehyde decontamination cycles are the same whether a room or chamber is empty or packed with equipment. Gases are able to fill the space and get into small openings. VPHP is injected in a line-of-sight manner as a vapor and condenses on surfaces. Equipment in the chamber/room can act as an obstacle for VPHP's line of sight injection, necessitating multiple generators or the removal of equipment. The additional equipment also increases the surfaces upon which VPHP will condense on, decreasing the amount of VPHP that is available to reach other areas. A VPHP cycle is also different depending on the amount and configuration of equipment in the room.

Water in chamber/room:

Chlorine Dioxide gas is the only method able to penetrate water, decontaminating both it and the surface beneath it.

Cycle Development:

VPHP cycle development:

To perform a VPHP decontamination, it is first necessary to perform the following 5 studies:

Study 1: Pre-gassing surface temperature checks, following stabilization after the HVAC system is switched off, if appropriate.

Study 2: Vapor distribution study identifying shadow areas. Smoke sticks are used to identify air patterns in critical areas. Vapor distribution positions are adjusted as necessary to achieve satisfactory gas distribution. The time period required to achieve adequate gas delivery temperature (500C) at nozzle positions is determined from timed temperature measurements to establish the conditioning time.

Study 3: BI location mapping – worst case locations determined from study 1 and study 2. Note: Areas which are hottest and/or with poor vapor distribution are generally the worst case locations.

Study 4: Vaporization time parameter development from timed BI removal in Cycle Fractional study (CF). The cycle fractional study involves removal of the BIs at timed exposure intervals to the gas for incubation to determine the point at which full kill occurs. This vaporization run normally uses an extended cycle to determine kill characteristics of the system. During the cycle development run parameters recorded and logged against time will include vapor concentration measurement, return temperature, outlet temperature (at the furthest nozzle), relative humidity, ambient temperature.

Study 5: Conditioning and aeration time confirmation and setting of vaporization cycle parameters. The parameters are determined from the results, following a minimum BI incubation period of cycle fractional study biological indicators and review of the logged parameters. A further vaporization run is then carried out using the parameters established from the evaluation of previous results. During this proving run a cycle fractional study is repeated to establish deviation (CF 106) from the determined vaporization parameters to confirm that there is an adequate safety factor to ensure kill.

Chlorine Dioxide Gas Cycle Development:

Roughly measure chamber volume. Utilize either standard room cycle (1 mg/L held for 2 hours) or standard isolator cycle (5 mg/L for 30 minutes). As a gas, any additional cycle development is unnecessary as complete distribution occurs naturally.

- | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| • Chamber size is not a factor | • Different rooms are not a factor | • Starting RH is not a factor |
| • Load patterns are not a factor | • No extra equipment necessary | • No airflow adjustments necessary |
| • No costly cycle development assistance necessary | • Standard cycles exist | • Room is empty or completely packed is not a factor |
| • Shadow areas are not a factor | • True gasses have good distribution | • No injection rate adjustments necessary |
| • Materials in the chamber are not a factor | • Temperature is not a factor | • True gasses get good penetration |

Chlorine Dioxide Gas: The Safest Fumigant

This highlights some of the reasons why gaseous chlorine dioxide (CD) is the safest of all the gas or vapor decontamination agents. To be clear, all decontamination agents are deadly. This is their function.

Safety Warnings (Self Alerting)

The best safety feature with CD is that it is self-alerting. CD has an odor threshold at or below the 8 hour Time Weighted Average (TWA), so the user is self alerted to exposure at a low level and the reliance on external equipment is not as imperative as with VPHP. This alone makes CD safer since the user is self-alerted before unsafe levels are achieved. With VPHP, odor does not provide a warning of exposure. The user becomes aware of a harmful exposure when choking occurs. The user cannot detect VPHP until the safe levels are exceeded and must rely on external equipment to alert of possible exposure. This makes it extremely important to place personal safety detection devices all around the area when using VPHP. With CD, this reliance upon external equipment is not a necessity because of the odor. Minimal area monitors are required when using CD.

Shorter Cycle Times

Chlorine dioxide is the fastest acting decontaminating gas or vapor. For the various decontaminating agents the cycle times can range from 3-1/2 hours to over 12 hours in decontaminating a 2500 cubic foot room. With normal aeration exhaust rates, a CD cycle would be about 3-1/2 hours or less, formaldehyde would be about 12-1/2 hours, and VPHP could be 10 to 12 hours when you include the aeration times. This means that a potentially unsafe condition exists for a far shorter time when using chlorine dioxide for room decontamination.

VPHP has long cycles because of the longer aeration times because of vapor condensation and absorption issues that do not apply with a true gas. Formaldehyde has long cycles because of long exposure times and the neutralization time.

Lower Concentration Levels

Chlorine dioxide is typically used at lower concentrations for room decontamination. VPHP concentrations are typically 750-1500 ppm. Formaldehyde concentration is typically 10,000 ppm. CD concentration is typically only 360 ppm. If something goes wrong, the higher concentration of formaldehyde and VPHP poses a greater risk due to the higher concentrations in the room.

Equipment Located Outside the Target Chamber

The CD generating equipment is located outside the decontamination target chamber. If equipment is inside the chamber and some issue occurs, the user may have to enter the chamber with a decontamination agent present to shutdown the equipment. Since CD generation equipment is located outside the chamber and if some issue occurs the equipment can easily be shutdown by hitting the stop button located on the generator or even by pulling the plug.

Quicker Emergency Aeration

Chlorine dioxide is quicker to aerate down to the 8-hour TWA compared to VPHP and formaldehyde so the room returns to a safe condition quicker when CD is used. If something goes wrong during the CD cycle, aeration can be started and in 30-45 minutes there will be no CD left (below the 0.1ppm TWA). If something goes wrong with VPHP cycle, then the catalytic conversion starts and this can take hours (typically 12 hours). If direct aeration is utilized, this also takes hours to remove the VPHP from the room (typically 6 hours). The reason for the long aeration times with VPHP is that it is a vapor with condensation and absorption issues and not a true gas. If something goes wrong during the formaldehyde cycle, aeration can be started and in 50-75 minutes there will be safe formaldehyde levels (below the 2ppm TWA) except for subsequent off-gassing. If neutralization is required, aeration can be approximately 120 minutes. Therefore the unsafe levels of a sterilant are present for much longer with VPHP than CD and provide a greater risk due to having hazardous concentrations present longer. CD can be down to safe levels much faster than VPHP. In fact, based on the 6 hours VPHP aeration, CD can be removed from the room 12 times faster than VPHP. Another way of describing this is that it will take hours for VPHP to aerate from a room to reach safe levels. For example, it takes 4 hours for VPHP to be reduced from 300 ppm to 1.0 ppm. As a contrast it takes 45 minutes to aerate CD from 300 ppm to 0.1 ppm. So even though the TWA for CD is 0.1 vs. 1.0 for VPHP, CD gets to the safe levels much quicker and therefore is much safer.

Non-carcinogenic

Chlorine dioxide gas is non-carcinogenic. It is used to treat fruits, vegetables, poultry, and other foods. Chlorine dioxide has also been used in the treatment of drinking water since the 1920's.

Complete Decontamination

Chlorine dioxide gas and formaldehyde are gasses and gasses reach and penetrate all areas that vapors have trouble reaching. As the only decontaminating agent able to penetrate water, chlorine dioxide gas decontaminates the water and the surface beneath it. Other methods would not be able to decontaminate either the water or the surface beneath, allowing any organism present to survive. If the decontaminating agent cannot reach ALL of the dangerous organisms in a BSL-3/4 facility, at the proper concentration, for the prescribed amount of time, then a complete decontamination will not occur and worker safety is compromised.

Portable Chlorine Dioxide Gas Generators

The Clordisys family of portable chlorine dioxide gas generators all automatically control the decontamination process. They have the capability to interface with nearly any chamber or room, as well as building management systems. The generators all feature HMIs that are password protected and have recipe management systems with real time trending. The generators are manufactured using industrial components and are easily portable throughout your facility.

Cloridox-GMP

The Cloridox-GMP Sterilization System can be used on any room/chamber sized between 1-70,000 ft³. In addition the system can be attached to most vacuum chambers to provide a method for component or product sterilization as well. The Cloridox-GMP Sterilization System comes standard with an accurate, real time concentration monitor, which allows for tight process control, easy validation, and great repeatability. A run record is produced that contains the date, cycle time, cycle steps, as well as temperature, pressure, and chlorine dioxide concentration. The HMI system features a password protected, recipe management system with real time trending.

Ideal application: GMP facilities or facilities where vacuum cycles need to be conducted in addition to the decontamination of rooms, isolators, equipment, or supplies.



Minidox-M

The Minidox-M Sterilization System can be used on any room/chamber sized between 1-70,000 ft³. Comes standard with an accurate, real time concentration monitor, which allows for tight process control, easy validation, and great repeatability. A run record is produced that contains the date, cycle time, cycle steps, as well as temperature, pressure, and chlorine dioxide concentration. The HMI system features a password protected, recipe management system with real time trending.

Ideal application: Any facility looking to decontaminate rooms, isolators, equipment, or supplies.



Minidox-B

The Minidox-B Sterilization System can be used on any room/chamber sized between 1-70,000 ft³. The Minidox-B does not contain a concentration monitoring system, instead relying on injection of chlorine dioxide gas through calculation. The HMI system features a password protected, recipe management system.

Ideal application: Any facility looking to decontaminate ambient rooms/chambers without concentration monitoring (comparable to VPHP generators)



Minidox-L

The Minidox - L Decontamination System is a smaller, more economical chlorine dioxide gas generation system designed for use in any chamber under 300 ft³ such as an isolator, incubator, HEPA housing, or a Biological Safety Cabinet (BSC). It provides a rapid and highly effective method to decontaminate a target chamber. It includes a BSC interface plate, carbon-based scrubber for removal of CD gas, and required tubing.

Ideal application: Facilities looking to decontaminate small chambers such as pass-throughs, incubators, or BSCs but not rooms.



Fixed Equipment

Equipment Decontamination Chamber

The Equipment Decontamination Chamber is designed for use with any Clordisys CD Generator. For many applications, the Equipment Decontamination Chamber can effectively replace a bulk autoclave inside a facility. It provides the ability to rapidly and effectively sterilize computers, electronics, medical devices, sterile products, instruments, and components at ambient temperatures. It can be used to decontaminate components entering a “sterile” or “clean” facility at room temperatures. Items can also be decontaminated before removal from a dirty area into a clean area without the concern for cross contaminations.

The equipment is available in a variety of sizes to meet your facility’s needs and constraints.

Ideal application: Decontaminating incoming products, equipment, or supplies into a research or production area.



Steridox-VP

The Steridox-VP Chlorine Dioxide Gas Vacuum Sterilizer provides a rapid and highly effective method to sterilize medical devices, sterile products, instruments, and components at ambient temperatures. The Steridox-VP includes a highly accurate sterilant monitoring system making it highly repeatable and easily validatable. A run record is produced that contains: date, cycle time, cycle steps, as well as temperature, pressure, and chlorine dioxide gas concentration.

The sterilizer is available in a variety of sizes to meet your processing needs. Automated sliding doors are available. The chamber and doors are constructed of 316L stainless steel to provide an exceptionally long life. The unit is enclosed in stainless steel, easily removable panels.

The process is non-explosive allowing for inexpensive installations.

Standard Sizes:

16” x 16” x 26”D; 20” x 20” x 38”D; 26” x 26” x 39”D

Custom sizes up to 1000 ft³

Ideal application: Products or components unable to be sterilized under ambient pressure.



Decontamination Spray Tunnel

Get an easy, quick and cost effective way to sanitize the outside of feed and bedding bags. A Chlorine Dioxide Decontamination Spray Tunnel provides a cost effective method to decontaminate feed and bedding bags, components, parts, supplies, and equipment entering a “sterile” or “clean” facility at room temperatures.

Some items which can be sanitized in the Decontamination Spray Tunnel include feed and bedding bags, animal cages, trays, components, supplies, etc. The controls are simple start/stop and speed control for the conveyor speed to match your sanitization and throughput requirements.

Ideal application: Incoming feed and bedding bags to research facilities.



Equipment Decontamination Room Door

The Equipment Decontamination Room Door allows you to turn any room into a sterilization/decontamination room. This provides a cost effective method to decontaminate components, parts, supplies, and equipment entering a “sterile” or “clean” facility at room temperatures and without the need for a specialized chamber. It can also be used as an exit chamber for a BSL area.

The door utilizes a rugged, non-inflatable gasket so that sealing a door using tape is not required. It is made of white polypropylene for reduced cost and ease of installation. A window is provided for viewing and safety. A ramp is provided to roll carts over a 3” high sill. An optional interlock and control system is available. The door is available in a variety of sizes to meet your facility needs.

Ideal application: Facilities looking to decontaminate components, parts, supplies or equipment entering a clean facility or exiting a BSL facility.



ClorDiSys Connection Plates

Multi-Room Distribution System - Distribution Plate

The Distribution Plate is part of the Multi-Room Distribution System. The Distribution Plate is located where the CD Gas Generator is operated, either mounted in the ceiling or in the wall. Each target room to be decontaminated houses a Ceiling Plate. Tubing and electrical cables are run above the ceiling between the Distribution Plate and each Ceiling Plate, allowing the CD Generator to connect up to each target room from the same location. This allows for the CD Gas Generator to be stationed in one place and be able to decontaminate any room connected to the system from that location.

Ideal application: For use in a facility that has rooms in both unrestricted and restricted (barrier or BSL) areas to decontaminate, such that the CD Generator can stay outside the restricted area and connect to rooms both inside and outside the restricted area.

Used in conjunction with Ceiling Plates



Multi-Room Distribution System - Ceiling Plate

The Ceiling Plate is also part of the Multi-Room Distribution System. The Ceiling Plate is located inside the rooms to be decontaminated, and is connected to the distribution plate via tubing and signal cables.

Ideal application: For use in a facility that has rooms in both unrestricted and restricted (barrier or BSL area) areas to decontaminate, such that the CD Generator can stay outside the restricted area and connect to rooms both inside and outside the restricted area.



Door Plate

The Door Plate is used as an interface between the CD Generator and a room. It also allows for a quick and easy connection to the room via valved tubing connectors.

Ideal application: Installed into rooms that will be decontaminated often, creating an easier interface for connecting the generator to the room.



Wall Plate

The Wall Plate is used as an interface between the CD Generator and a room. It also allows for a quick and easy connection to the room via valved tubing connectors.

Sized to replace a mason block, the wall plate is available for stud walls as well.

Ideal application: Installed into rooms that will be decontaminated often, creating an easier interface for connecting the generator to the room.



Under Door Plate

The Under Door Plate is used as an interface between the CD Generator and a room. It provides for an easier surface to seal against than if just sealing around tubing separately. It also allows for a quick and easy connection to the room via valved tubing connectors.

Ideal application: A facility with many different rooms that they wish to decontaminate, as one Under Door Plate can be used throughout the facility.



CD-Tab™ Chlorine Dioxide Generating Tablets

Do you want a chlorine dioxide solution?

CD-Tab is the solution to your problems. –*chlorine dioxide solution –with just ONE small tablet.* We have a revolutionary tablet that works quickly and effectively. It is reasonably priced, easily stored, very powerful, and most importantly, brings you the benefits of a chlorine dioxide solution for your facility. CD-Tab is a neutral pH, chlorine dioxide solution. No mix, No mess. It is extremely effective against Biofilms.

You just need a clean bucket/spray bottle, water, our small, 3 gram CD-Tab tablet, and just drop the tablet into your one liter container of tap water and you have a generic, 100 PPM, non-acidic, chlorine dioxide odor-control solution. For higher concentrations, just add another tablet.

What are the advantages?

- No rinsing required
- No mixing and spilling of solutions
- Lower purchase costs than other chlorine dioxide solutions
- Less storage space required
- Lower shipping costs
- Neutral pH
- Controls Odors



Simple to use!

Step One: Drop CD-Tab tablet into water

Step Two: Spray or wipe surface

It's that simple



Pricing information:

Order Number	Water Req'd	Chlorine Dioxide Solution Concentration	Quantity per box	Price per box
CDTAB100810	1 liter	100 ppm	810 Tablets	\$800

Contact us for a free sample!

Room, Facility & Building Decontamination Service



ClorDiSys Solutions, Inc. provides decontamination services for all types of facilities and applications. If you have contamination issues or are interested in overall facility decontamination prior to move-in CSI can help you. CSI's method of using chlorine dioxide gas allows us to completely decontaminate your facility all at once with minimal equipment and minimal downtime. Gaseous systems provide the ability to get a thorough distribution and complete penetration when compared to any other method (vapors, mists or fogs).

Clordisys Solutions, Inc has the capabilities to decontaminate areas over 1,000,000 ft³. The ability to decontaminate as a single unit allows for a

more effective result with no transition areas. When decontaminating using vapor systems, large amounts of generators are required and the facility must be separated into smaller areas causing more costs for equipment and time to setup. Additionally, separating the facility to smaller areas causes issues with cross contamination when breaking down one area to decontaminate the next area. That is why we at CSI decontaminate a facility all at once to eliminate the possibility of cross contamination.

Only gaseous decontaminating agents offer effective decontamination against life threatening organisms in a non-ideal setting. These are the only decontaminating agents that are truly effective in areas that are difficult to reach such as floor drains, HVAC grills, beneath furniture and components, the inside of cabinets, hinges, instruments and components, and other difficult-to-reach areas. CD is non-carcinogenic, does not require neutralization, leaves no residues, and provides an extremely fast method for decontamination.

Decontamination service contracts are also available for monthly, bi-monthly, quarterly or yearly occurrences and can comply with facility shut-down events.



<u>What?</u>	<u>When?</u>
• Rooms	• New construction
• BSL Suites	• Renovation
• Entire Facilities	• Contamination
• HVAC Ductwork	• Decommissioning
	• Between laboratory populations

Pictured are just some of the rooms, facilities, buildings and equipment that we have decontaminated using chlorine dioxide gas.



Biological Efficacy of Chlorine Dioxide

Clordisys' Chlorine Dioxide Gas is registered with the United States Environmental Protection Agency as a sterilizer. The U.S. EPA defines a sterilizer as able "to destroy or eliminate all forms of microbial life including fungi, viruses, and all forms of bacteria and their spores. With this classification from the EPA, it can be considered that Chlorine Dioxide Gas will eliminate all viruses, bacteria, fungi, and their spores. Below is a table of some of the more commonly seen organisms that chlorine dioxide has been proven to eliminate. Testing has been done using Chlorine Dioxide on a multitude of specific organisms, and a more complete list is available on our website. To date, no organism tested against Chlorine Dioxide Gas has proved resistant.

Product: CSI CD CARTRIDGE

EPA Reg#: 80802-1

Registrant: CLORDISYS SOLUTIONS, INC

Approval Date: 02/25//2005

Active Ingredients: Sodium chlorite 72.8%

Bacteria:	Ref.
<i>Blakeslea trispora</i>	28
<i>Bordetella bronchiseptica</i>	8
<i>Brucella suis</i>	30
<i>Burkholderia mallei</i>	36
<i>Burkholderia pseudomallei</i>	36
<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i>	39
<i>Clostridium botulinum</i>	32
<i>Clostridium difficile</i>	44
<i>Corynebacterium bovis</i>	8
<i>Coxiella burneti</i> (Q-fever)	35
<i>E. coli</i> ATCC 11229	3
<i>E. coli</i> ATCC 51739	1
<i>E. coli</i> K12	1
<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 13B88	1
<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 204P	1
<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 ATCC 43895	1
<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 EDL933	13
<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 G5303	1
<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 C7927	1
<i>Erwinia carotovora</i> (soft rot)	21
<i>Fransicella tularensis</i>	30
<i>Fusarium sambucinum</i> (dry rot)	21
<i>Fusarium solani</i> var. <i>coeruleum</i> (dry rot)	21
<i>Helicobacter pylori</i>	8
<i>Helminthosporium solani</i> (silver scurf)	21
<i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i>	3
<i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> NRRL B1910	1
<i>Lactobacillus brevis</i>	1
<i>Lactobacillus buchneri</i>	1
<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	5
<i>Legionella</i>	38

Bacteria:	Ref.
<i>Legionella pneumophila</i>	42
<i>Leuconostoc citreum</i> TPB85	1
<i>Leuconostoc mesenteroides</i>	5
<i>Listeria innocua</i> ATCC 33090	1
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> F4248	1
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> F5069	19
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> LCDC-81-861	1
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> LCDC-81-886	19
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> Scott A	1
Methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (MRSA)	3
Multiple Drug Resistant <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> (MDRS)	3
<i>Mycobacterium bovis</i>	8
<i>Mycobacterium fortuitum</i>	42
<i>Pediococcus acidilactici</i> PH3	1
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	3
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	8
<i>Salmonella</i>	1
<i>Salmonella</i> spp.	2
<i>Salmonella</i> Agona	1
<i>Salmonella</i> Anatum Group E	1
<i>Salmonella</i> Choleraesins ATCC 13076	1
<i>Salmonella</i> choleraesuis	8
<i>Salmonella</i> Enterica (PT30) BAA-1045	1
<i>Salmonella</i> Enterica S. Enteritidis	13
<i>Salmonella</i> Enterica S. Javiana	13
<i>Salmonella</i> Enterica S. Montevideo	13
<i>Salmonella</i> Enteritidis E190-88	1
<i>Salmonella</i> Javiana	1
<i>Salmonella</i> newport	4

Bacteria:	Ref.
<i>Salmonella Typhimurium</i> C133117	1
<i>Salmonella Anatum</i> Group E	1
Shigella	38
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	23
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> ATCC 25923	1
<i>Staphylococcus faecalis</i> ATCC 344	1
Tuberculosis	3
Vancomycin-resistant <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> (VRE)	3
<i>Vibrio</i> strain Da-2	37
<i>Vibrio</i> strain Sr-3	37
<i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i>	40
<i>Yersinia pestis</i>	30
<i>Yersinia ruckerii</i> ATCC 29473	31

Viruses:	Ref
Adenovirus Type 40	6
Calicivirus	42
Canine Parvovirus	8
Coronavirus	3
Feline Calici Virus	3
Foot and Mouth disease	8
Hantavirus	8
Hepatitis A Virus	3
Hepatitis B & C Viruses	8
Human coronavirus	8
Human Immunodeficiency Virus	3
Human Rotavirus type 2 (HRV)	15
Influenza A	22
Minute Virus of Mouse (Parovirus)(MVM-i)	8
Minute Virus of Mouse (Parovirus)(MVM-p)	8
Mouse Hepatitis Virus (MHV-A59)	8
Mouse Hepatitis Virus (MHV-JHM)	8
Mouse Parvovirus type 1 (MPV-1)	8
Murine Parainfluenza Virus Type 1 (Sendai)	8
Newcastle Disease Virus	8
Norwalk Virus	8
Poliovirus	20
Rotavirus	3
Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS)	43
Sialodscryoadenitis Virus (Coronavirus)(SDAV)	8
Simian rotavirus SA-11	15
Theiler's Mouse Encephalomyelitis Virus (TMEV)	8
Vaccinia Virus	10

Algae/Fungi/Mold/Yeast:	Ref.
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	26
<i>Aspergillus aeneus</i>	28
<i>Aspergillus aurolatus</i>	28
<i>Aspergillus brunneo-uniseriatus</i>	28
<i>Aspergillus caespitosus</i>	28
<i>Aspergillus cervinus</i>	28
<i>Aspergillus clavatonanicus</i>	28
<i>Aspergillus clavatus</i>	28
<i>Aspergillus egyptiacus</i>	28
<i>Aspergillus elongatus</i>	28
<i>Aspergillus fischeri</i>	28
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>	28
<i>Aspergillus giganteus</i>	28
<i>Aspergillus longivesica</i>	28
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	12
<i>Aspergillus ochraceus</i>	28
<i>Aspergillus parvathecius</i>	28
<i>Aspergillus sydowii</i>	28
<i>Aspergillus unguis</i>	28
<i>Aspergillus ustus</i>	28
<i>Aspergillus versicolor</i>	28
<i>Botrytis</i> species	3
<i>Candida</i> spp.	5
<i>Candida albicans</i>	28
<i>Candida dubliniensis</i>	28
<i>Candida maltosa</i>	28
<i>Candida parapsilosis</i>	28
<i>Candida sake</i>	28
<i>Candida sojae</i>	28
<i>Candida</i> spp.	5
<i>Candida tropicalis</i>	28
<i>Candida viswanathil</i>	28
<i>Chaetomium globosum</i>	7
<i>Cladosporium cladosporioides</i>	7
<i>Debaryomyces etchellsii</i>	28
<i>Eurotium</i> spp.	5
<i>Fusarium solani</i>	3
<i>Lodderomyces elongisporus</i>	28
<i>Mucor circinelloides</i>	28
<i>Mucor flavus</i>	28
<i>Mucor indicus</i>	28
<i>Mucor mucedo</i>	28
<i>Mucor rademosus</i>	28
<i>Mucor ramosissimus</i>	28

<u>Algae/Fungi/Mold/Yeast:</u>	Ref.
Mucor saturnus	28
Penicillium chrysogenum	7
Penicillium digitatum	3
Penicillium herquei	28
Penicillium spp.	5
Phormidium boneri	3
Pichia pastoris	3
Poitrasia circinans	28
Rhizopus oryzae	28
Roridin A	33
Saccharomyces cerevisiae	3
Stachybotrys chartarum	7
T-mentag (athlete's foot fungus)	3
Verrucarin A	33

<u>Beta Lactams:</u>	Ref.
Amoxicillin	29
Amplicillin	29
Cefadroxil	29
Cefazolin	29
Cephalexin	29
Imipenem	29
Penicillin G	29
Penicillin V	29

<u>Protozoa:</u>	Ref.
Chironomid larvae	27
<i>Cryptosporidium</i>	34
<i>Cryptosporidium parvum</i> Oocysts	9
Cyclospora cayetanensis oocysts	41
Giardia	34

<u>Microsporidia:</u>	Ref.
Encephalitozoon intestinalis	41

<u>Chemical Decontamination:</u>	Ref.
Mustard Gas	
Ricin Toxin	10
dihydronicotinamide adenine dinucleotide	24
microcystin-LR (MC-LR)	25
cylindrospermopsin (CYN)	25

<u>Bacterial Spores:</u>	Ref.
<i>Alicyclobacillus acidoterrestris</i>	17
<i>Bacillus coagulans</i>	12
<i>Bacillus anthracis</i>	10
<i>Bacillus anthracis</i> Ames	30
<i>Bacillus atrophaeus</i>	14
<i>Bacillus atrophaeus</i> ATCC 49337	31
<i>Bacillus megaterium</i>	12
<i>Bacillus polymyxa</i>	12
<i>Bacillus pumilus</i> ATCC 27142	12
<i>Bacillus pumilus</i> ATCC 27147	11
<i>Bacillus subtilis (globigii)</i> ATCC 9372	11
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> ATCC 19659	31
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> 5230	12
<i>Clostridium. sporogenes</i> ATCC 19404	12
<i>Geobacillus stearothermophilus</i> ATCC 12980	11
<i>Geobacillus stearothermophilus</i> ATCC 7953	31
<i>Geobacillus stearothermophilus</i> VPHP	11
<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i>	18

References:

1. Selecting Surrogate Microorganism for Evaluation of Pathogens on Chlorine Dioxide Gas Treatment, Jeongmok Kim, Somi Koh, Arpan Bhagat, Arun K Bhunia and Richard H. Linton. Purdue University Center for Food Safety 2007 Annual Meeting October 30 - 31, 2007 at Forestry Center, West Lafayette, IN.
2. Decontamination of produce using chlorine dioxide gas treatment, Richard Linton, Philip Nelson, Bruce Applegate, David Gerrard, Yingchang Han and Travis Selby.
3. Chlorine Dioxide, Part 1 A Versatile, High-Value Sterilant for the Biopharmaceutical Industry, Barry Wintner, Anthony Contino, Gary O'Neill. BioProcess International DECEMBER 2005.
4. Chlorine Dioxide Gas Decontamination of Large Animal Hospital Intensive and Neonatal Care Units, Henry S. Luftman, Michael A. Regits, Paul Lorcheim, Mark A. Czarneski, Thomas Boyle, Helen Aceto, Barbara Dallap, Donald Munro, and Kym Faylor. Applied Biosafety, 11(3) pp. 144-154 © ABSA 2006
5. Efficacy of chlorine dioxide gas as a sanitizer for tanks used for aseptic juice storage, Y. Han, A. M. Guentert*, R. S. Smith, R. H. Linton and P. E. Nelson. Food Microbiology, 1999, 16, 53|61
6. Inactivation of Enteric Adenovirus and Feline Calicivirus by Chlorine Dioxide, Jeanette A. Thurston-Enriquez, Charles N. Haas, Joseph Jacangelo, and Charles P. Gerba. APPLIED AND ENVIRONMENTAL MICROBIOLOGY, June 2005, p. 3100–3105.
7. Effect of Chlorine Dioxide Gas on Fungi and Mycotoxins Associated with Sick Building Syndrome, S. C. Wilson,* C. Wu, L. A. Andriychuk, J. M. Martin, T. L. Brasel, C. A. Jumper, and D. C. Straus. APPLIED AND ENVIRONMENTAL MICROBIOLOGY, Sept. 2005, p. 5399–5403.
8. BASF Aseptrol Label
9. Effects of Ozone, Chlorine Dioxide, Chlorine, and Monochloramine on *Cryptosporidium parvum* Oocyst Viability, D. G. KORICH, J. R. MEAD, M. S. MADORE, N. A. SINCLAIR, AND C. R. STERLING. APPLIED AND ENVIRONMENTAL MICROBIOLOGY, May 1990, p. 1423-1428.
10. NHSRC's Systematic Decontamination Studies, Shawn P. Ryan, Joe Wood, G. Blair Martin, Vipin K. Rastogi (ECBC), Harry Stone (Battelle). 2007 Workshop on Decontamination, Cleanup, and Associated Issues for Sites Contaminated with Chemical, Biological, or Radiological Materials Sheraton Imperial Hotel, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina June 21, 2007.
11. Validation of Pharmaceutical Processes 3rd edition, edited by Aaloco James, Carleton Frederick J. Informa Healthcare USA, Inc., 2008, p267
12. Chlorine dioxide gas sterilization under square-wave conditions. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 56: 514-519 1990. Jeng, D. K. and Woodworth, A. G.
13. Inactivation kinetics of inoculated *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella enterica* on lettuce by chlorine dioxide gas. Food Microbiology Volume 25, Issue 2, February 2008, Pages 244-252, Barakat S. M. Mahmoud and R. H. Linton.
14. Determination of the Efficacy of Two Building Decontamination Strategies by Surface Sampling with Culture and Quantitative PCR Analysis. APPLIED AND ENVIRONMENTAL MICROBIOLOGY, Aug. 2004, p. 4740–4747. Mark P. Buttner, Patricia Cruz, Linda D. Stetzenbach, Amy K. Klima-Comba, Vanessa L. Stevens, and Tracy D. Cronin
15. Inactivation of Human and Simian Rotaviruses by Chlorine Dioxide. APPLIED AND ENVIRONMENTAL MICROBIOLOGY, May 1990, p. 1363-1366. YU-SHIAW CHEN AND JAMES M. VAUGHN
16. Information obtained from CSI internal testing with Pharmaceutical customer. May 2006 Pages 364-368
17. Efficacy of chlorine dioxide gas against *Alicyclobacillus acidoterrestris* spores on apple surfaces, Sun-Young Lee, Genesis Iris Dancer, Su-sen Chang, Min-Suk Rhee and Dong-Hyun Kang, International Journal of Food Microbiology, Volume 108, issue 3, May 2006 Pages 364-368
18. Decontamination of *Bacillus thuringiensis* spores on selected surfaces by chlorine dioxide gas, Han Y, Applegate B, Linton RH, Nelson PE. J Environ Health. 2003 Nov;66(4):16-21.
19. Decontamination of Strawberries Using Batch and Continuous Chlorine Dioxide Gas Treatments, Y Han, T.L. Selby, K.K.Schultze, PE Nelson, RH Linton. Journal of Food Protection, Vol 67, NO 12, 2004.
20. Mechanisms of Inactivation of Poliovirus by Chlorine Dioxide and Iodine, MARIA E. ALVAREZ AND R. T. O'BRIEN, APPLIED AND ENVIRONMENTAL MICROBIOLOGY, Nov. 1982, p. 1064-1071
21. The Use of Chlorine Dioxide in potato storage, NORA OLSEN, GALE KLEINKOPF, GARY SECOR, LYNN WOODDELL, AND PHIL NOLTE, University of Idaho, BUL 825.
22. Protective effect of low-concentration chlorine dioxide gas against influenza A virus infection Norio Ogata and Takashi Shibata Journal of General Virology (2008), 89, 60–67
23. Preparation and evaluation of novel solid chlorine dioxide-based disinfectant powder in single-pack Zhu M, Zhang LS, Pei XF, Xu X. Biomed Environ Sci. 2008 Apr;21(2):157-62.
24. Chlorine dioxide oxidation of dihydronicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH), Bakhmutova-Albert EV, Margerum DW, Auer JG, Applegate BM. Inorg Chem. 2008 Mar 17;47(6):2205-11. Epub 2008 Feb 16.
25. Oxidative elimination of cyanotoxins: comparison of ozone, chlorine, chlorine dioxide and permanganate, Rodríguez E, Onstad GD, Kull TP, Metcalf JS, Acero JL, von Gunten U., Water Res. 2007 Aug;41 (15):3381-93. Epub 2007 Jun 20.
26. Inhibition of hyphal growth of the fungus *Alternaria alternata* by chlorine dioxide gas at very low concentrations, Morino H, Matsubara A, Fukuda T, Shibata T. Yakugaku Zasshi. 2007 Apr;127(4):773-7. Japanese.
27. Inactivation of Chironomid larvae with chlorine dioxide, Sun XB, Cui FY, Zhang JS, Xu F, Liu LJ., J Hazard Mater. 2007 Apr 2;142(1-2):348-53. Epub 2006 Aug 18.
28. Information obtained from CSI decontamination at Pharmaceutical facility.
29. Information obtained from CSI beta-lactam inactivation at Pharmaceutical facility.
30. Decontamination of Surfaces Contaminated with Biological Agents using Fumigant Technologies, S Ryan, J Wood, 2008 Workshop on Decontamination, Cleanup, and Associated Issues for Sites Contaminated with Chemical, Biological, or Radiological Materials Sheraton Imperial Hotel, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina September 24, 2008.
31. Sporocidal Action of CD and VPHP Against Avirulent *Bacillus anthracis* – Effect of Organic Bio-Burden and Titer Challenge Level, Vipin K. Rastogi, Lanie Wallace & Lisa Smith, 2008 Workshop on Decontamination, Cleanup, and Associated Issues for Sites Contaminated with Chemical, Biological, or Radiological Materials Sheraton Imperial Hotel, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina September 25, 2008.
32. *Clostridium Botulinum*, ESR Ltd, May 2001.
33. Efficacy of Chlorine Dioxide as a Gas and in Solution in the Inactivation of Two Trichothecene Mycotoxins, S. C. Wilson, T. L. Brasel, J. M. Martin, C. Wu, L. Andriychuk, D. R. Douglas, L. Cobos, D. C. Straus, International Journal of Toxicology, Volume 24, Issue 3 May 2005 , pages 181 – 186.
34. Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality, World Health Organization, pg 140.
35. Division of Animal Resources Agent Summary Sheet, M. Huerkamp, June 30, 2003.
36. NRT Quick Reference Guide: Glanders and Melioidosis
37. Seasonal Occurrence of the Pathogenic *Vibrio* sp. of the Disease of Sea Urchin *Strongylocentrotus intermedius* Occurring at Low Water Temperatures and the Prevention Methods of the Disease, K. TAJIMA, K. TAKEUCHI, M. TAKAHATA, M. HASEGAWA, S. WATANABE, M. IQBAL, Y.EZURA, Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi VOL.66;NO.5;PAGE.799-804(2000).
38. Biocidal Efficacy of Chlorine Dioxide, TF-249, Nalco Company, 2008.
39. Sensitivity Of *Listeria Monocytogenes*, *Campylobacter Jejuni* And *Escherichia Coli* Stec To Sublethal Bactericidal Treatments And Development Of Increased Resistance After Repetitive Cycles Of Inactivation, N. Smigic, A. Rajkovic, H. Medic, M. Uyttendaele, F. Devlieghere, Oral presentation. FoodMicro 2008, September 1st – September 4th, 2008, Aberdeen, Scotland.
40. Susceptibility of chemostat-grown *Yersinia enterocolitica* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* to chlorine dioxide, M S Harakeh, J D Berg, J C Hoff, and A Matin, Appl Environ Microbiol. 1985 January; 49(1): 69–72.
41. Efficacy of Gaseous Chlorine Dioxide as a Sanitizer against *Cryptosporidium parvum*, *Cyclospora cayetanensis*, and *Encephalitozoon intestinalis* on Produce, Y. Ortega, A. Mann, M. Torres, V. Cama, Journal of Food Protection, Volume 71, Number 12, December 2008 , pp. 2410-2414.
42. Inactivation of Waterborne Emerging Pathogens by Selected Disinfectants, J. Jacangelo, pg 23.
43. SARS Fact Sheet, National Agricultural Biosecurity Center, Kansas State University.
44. High sporocidal activity using dissolved chlorine dioxide (SanDes) on different surface materials contaminated by *Clostridium difficile* spores, Andersson J., Sjöberg M., Sjöberg L., Unemo M., Noren T. Oral presentation. 19th European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Helsinki, Finland, 16 - 19 May 2009.

At ClorDiSys, we are as **GREEN** as our gas

Our Company:

- Our generator supply chain produces no landfill waste.
- Our operations produce no greenhouse gasses.
- Our facility strictly adheres to energy savings practices.

Our Generation Process:

- The CD generation process uses less electricity than a small power tool.
- Can replace carcinogenic fumigation processes.
- Leaves no residuals or waste to treat or clean up.
- Does not affect the ozone layer.
- Enables the elimination of liquid agents and their disposal.
- Is energy efficient, running at ambient temperature and pressure.
- As a replacement for chlorine, CD does not chlorinate organic material, resulting in significant decreases in trihalomethanes (THMs), haloacetic acids (HAAs), chloramines and other chlorinated organic compounds that are thought to be carcinogens.
- Can eliminate the need for energy guzzling steam sterilizers.



CD ClorDiSys

Ph: (908) 236-4100

www.clordisys.com